UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/808,764	03/25/2004	Thomas C. May	MIT5038USNP	5892
27777 PHILIP S. JOH	7590 12/28/200 NSON	EXAMINER		
JOHNSON & J		BATES, DAVID W		
	N & JOHNSON PLAZ VICK, NJ 08933-7003		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3775	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/28/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

jnjuspatent@corus.jnj.com lhowd@its.jnj.com gsanche@its.jnj.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/808,764	MAY ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

[DAVID W. BATES	3775	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	s on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 03 December 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION	FOR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the application, applicant must timely file one of the following reapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFI periods:	e same day as filing a Notice o plies: (1) an amendment, affida l (with appeal fee) in complianc	f Appeal. To avoid abar vit, or other evidence, w e with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing d b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire late Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	isory Action, or (2) the date set fort r than SIX MONTHS from the maili	ng date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extenunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sho set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later that may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	sion and the corresponding amour ortened statutory period for reply or	t of the fee. The appropria ginally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complia filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extensi Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS	on thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)),	to avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but (a) They raise new issues that would require further consi (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better appeal; and/or	ideration and/or search (see N0;;	OTE below);	
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a col NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): _ 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allow 			
non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)			
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provid The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1.3.5.7 and 9. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		will be effected and an ex	Apianation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and s was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to ove showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary a	rcome <u>all</u> rejections under app	eal and/or appellant fails	s to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•	
 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but description Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (P* 		in condition for allowan	ce because:
13. Other:			
/Thomas C. Barrett/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3775	/D. W. B./ Examiner, Art Unit 377	5	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 1) "Functionality as a cross-pin" is interpreted to be functional language requiring that the device only be able to perform as such. A cross-pin is taught to "secure the end of an ACL ligament in a bone tunnel" on pp. 3 of applicant's own disclosure. Each prior art reference is capable of securing the end of an ACL ligament in a bone tunnel (Dinger fig. 10; Schmeiding fig. 3). Further, the examiner is required to use broadest reasonable interpretation when reviewing the claim language (MPEP 904.01). The term "cross-pin" is interpreted to be a pin which attaches a tendon to bone while crossing a bone structure. 2) The devices of both Schmeidling and Dinger are ACL repair devices, making it obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to combine features from each. A guide wire would be capable of being inserted through the aperture 14 of Dinger. Changing this receiving aperture to the configuration of Schmieding would have been obvious to achieve a protected guidewire (as indicated on pp. 4 of the office action). Applicant's arguments argue functional limitations, which the device as claimed would have been capable of performing. 3) A "trough" and a "cut-out" are interpreted to be the same by use of broadest reasonable interpretation.